

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/886,241	06/21/2001	Susana Salceda	DEX-0209	5811
26259	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET			HARRIS, ALANA M	
MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annication No.	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/886,241	SALCEDA ET AL.				
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII INC DATE of this communication on	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	May 2004					
	· · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 3 and 16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that are the second shown in the second sho	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 15/15/10	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/886,241 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments and Amendments

1. Claims 3 and 16 are pending.

Claims 4-7 have been cancelled.

Claim 3 has been amended.

Claims 3 and 16 are examined on the merits.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for determining the levels of expression of SEQ ID NO: 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for determining the level of the gene itself is withdrawn in light of the claim amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for diagnosing the presence of breast cancer in a patient comprising determining levels of a breast specific polynucleotide designated as SEQ ID NO: 4 (or breast specific gene, BSG: sqmam042) in cells, tissue or bodily fluids in a patient and comparing the determined levels of a polynucleotide

Art Unit: 1642

comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 or a polynucleotide encoding the same polypeptide in cells, tissue or bodily fluids from a normal human control, wherein a change in determined levels of a BSG polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4 or a polynucleotide encoding the same polypeptide as SEQ ID NO: 4 in said patient versus normal human control is associated with presence of breast cancer, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods of diagnosing metastases, staging and monitoring changes in gastrointestinal cancer is withdrawn in light of the claim amendment. Claims 4-7 have been cancelled.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for diagnosing the presence of breast cancer in a patient comprising determining levels of a breast specific polynucleotide designated as SEQ ID NO: 4 in cells, tissue or bodily fluids in a patient and comparing the determined levels of a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 4, wherein a change in determined levels of polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 4 in said patient versus normal human control is associated with presence of breast cancer, does not reasonably provide enablement for a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide encoded by SEQ ID NO: 4 for the presence of any cancer.

Applicants' specification does not evidence the intended use of degenerate sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4, which is embraced by the claim language. The record has clearly established that SEQ ID NO: 4 is a unique or molecular marker for breast

Art Unit: 1642

cancer. However, there is insufficient evidence provided to support the use of the degenerate sequences in a diagnostic method for any cancer and specifically breast cancer. Furthermore, Applicants have not provided any disclosure enabling the use of degenerate coding sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4. There is no disclosure designating what changes to the coding sequences could be tolerated enabling one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the said sequences in any cancer detection method. The experimental design presented in the specification lacks information regarding the applicability of degenerate coding sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4 in detection methods relative to cancer. Applicants have not set forth any supporting evidence that suggests that degenerate sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4 is a unique tumor or molecular marker for cancer. Attached to the instant office action is a sequence alignment between Applicants' SEQ ID NO: 4 and sequence that is from a family of genes encoding PCKdependent protein phosphatase 1 inhibitors. This degenerate sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 would more than likely not be useful in the detection of cancer in a patient. It is not reasonable to conclude that degenerate sequences of SEQ ID NO: 4 would be effective in yielding a definitive detection of cancer disorders with distinguishing pathologies.

Based on the analysis and the teachings presented above the claims do not read on the entire breadth of the claim. There is insufficient support in the specification for the enablement of the broadly claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1642

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 am to 5:30 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. 09 August 2004

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

8 9 2004